FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.
**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY**
**CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.762 OF 2006

| Office notes, office Memoranda of Coram, appearance, Court's orders or directions and Registrar's orders. | Court's or Judge's orders. |
|---|---|

Mr.R.S.Tripathi for the applicant.
Mr.S.R.Borulkar, APP. for the State.

**CORAM : R.S. MOHITE,J.**
**DATED : 20/3/2006.**

**P.C.**

1. This is an application for quashing an order of issuance of process. Order in question has been passed in the year 2001 and the process was issued on 11.1.2001. No revision has been filed against the said order. With liberty to file revision in Sessions Court, application is dismissed.

2. It is made clear that merely because liberty is granted would not mean that delay should be condoned automatically. If revision is filed and any application for condonation of delay is made, the same may be decided

on its own merits. To enable the applicant to file revision in Sessions Court, the trial may not proceed for a period of three weeks from today.

**(R. S. MOHITE, J.)**

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.
**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY**
**CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.763 OF 2006

| Office notes, office Memoranda of Coram, appearance, Court's orders or directions and Registrar's orders. | Court's or Judge's orders. |
|---|---|

Mr.R.S.Tripathi for the applicant.
Mr.S.R.Borulkar, APP. for the State.

**CORAM : R.S. MOHITE,J.**
**DATED : 20/3/2006.**

**P.C.**

1. This is an application for quashing an order of issuance of process. Order in question has been passed in the year 2001 and the process was issued on 11.1.2001. No revision has been filed against the said order. With liberty to file revision in Sessions Court, application is dismissed.

2. It is made clear that merely because liberty is granted would not mean that delay should be condoned automatically. If revision is filed and any application for condonation of delay is made, the same may be decided

on its own merits. To enable the applicant to file revision in Sessions Court, the trial may not proceed for a period of three weeks from today.

**(R. S. MOHITE, J.)**

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.
**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY**
**CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.764 OF 2006

| Office notes, office Memoranda of Coram, appearance, Court's orders or directions and Registrar's orders. | Court's or Judge's orders. |
|---|---|

Mr.R.S.Tripathi for the applicant.
Mr.S.R.Borulkar, APP. for the State.

**CORAM : R.S. MOHITE,J.**
**DATED : 20/3/2006.**

**P.C.**

1. This is an application for quashing an order of issuance of process. Order in question has been passed in the year 2001 and the process was issued on 11.1.2001. No revision has been filed against the said order. With liberty to file revision in Sessions Court, application is dismissed.

2. It is made clear that merely because liberty is granted would not mean that delay should be condoned automatically. If revision is filed and any application for condonation of delay is made, the same may be decided

on its own merits. To enable the applicant to file revision in Sessions Court, the trial may not proceed for a period of three weeks from today.

**(R. S. MOHITE, J.)**

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.
**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY**
**CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.765 OF 2006

| Office notes, office Memoranda of Coram, appearance, Court's orders or directions and Registrar's orders. | Court's or Judge's orders. |
|---|---|

Mr.R.S.Tripathi for the applicant.
Mr.S.R.Borulkar, APP. for the State.

**CORAM : R.S. MOHITE,J.**
**DATED : 20/3/2006.**

**P.C.**

1. This is an application for quashing an order of issuance of process. Order in question has been passed in the year 2001 and the process was issued on 11.1.2001. No revision has been filed against the said order. With liberty to file revision in Sessions Court, application is dismissed.

2. It is made clear that merely because liberty is granted would not mean that delay should be condoned automatically. If revision is filed and any application for condonation of delay is made, the same may be decided

on its own merits. To enable the applicant to file revision in Sessions Court, the trial may not proceed for a period of three weeks from today.

**(R. S. MOHITE, J.)**